Disbarments From Practice Before the Internal Revenue Service After Notice and an Opportunity for a Proceeding

Under Title 31, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 10, after notice and an oppor-

tunity for a proceeding before an administrative law judge, the following individuals have been disbarred from practice before the Internal Revenue Service:

| Name | Address | Designation | Effective Date |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Haynes, Scott Y. | Valdosta, GA | СРА | March 19, 2007 |

Censure Issued by Consent

Under Title 31, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 10, in lieu of a proceeding being instituted or continued, an attorney, certified public accountant, enrolled agent, or enrolled actuary, may offer his or her consent to the issuance of a censure. Censure is a public reprimand. The following individuals have consented to the issuance of a Censure:

| Name | Address | Designation | Date of Censure |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Lyons, John K. | Dingmans Ferry, PA | Attorney | April 4, 2007 |
| Bowman, T. Hardie | Corpus Christi, TX | CPA | May 23, 2007 |
| Kofford, Brian T. | Provo, UT | CPA | June 12, 2007 |

Resignations of Enrolled Agents

Under Title 31, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 10, an enrolled agent, in order to avoid the institution or conclusion of a proceeding for his or her disbarment or suspension from practice before the In-

ternal Revenue Service, may offer his or her resignation as an enrolled agent. The Director, Office of Professional Responsibility, in his discretion, may accept the offered resignation. The Director, Office of Professional Responsibility, has accepted offers of resignation as an enrolled agent from the following individuals:

| Name | Address | Date of Resignation | |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| Hancock, William H. | Plant City, FL | April 10, 2007 | |

Deletions From Cumulative List of Organizations Contributions to Which are Deductible Under Section 170 of the Code

Announcement 2007–73

The names of organizations that no longer qualify as organizations described in section 170(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 are listed below.

Generally, the Service will not disallow deductions for contributions made to a

listed organization on or before the date of announcement in the Internal Revenue Bulletin that an organization no longer qualifies. However, the Service is not precluded from disallowing a deduction for any contributions made after an organization ceases to qualify under section 170(c)(2) if the organization has not timely filed a suit for declaratory judgment under section 7428 and if the contributor (1) had knowledge of the revocation of the ruling or determination letter, (2) was aware that such revocation was imminent, or (3) was in part responsible for or was aware of the activities or omissions of the organization that brought about this revocation.

If on the other hand a suit for declaratory judgment has been timely filed, contributions from individuals and organizations described in section 170(c)(2) that are otherwise allowable will continue to be deductible. Protection under section 7428(c) would begin on August 20, 2007, and would end on the date the court first determines that the organization is not described in section 170(c)(2) as more particularly set forth in section 7428(c)(1). For individual contributors, the maximum deduction protected is \$1,000, with a husband and wife treated as one contributor. This benefit is not extended to any individual, in whole or in part, for the acts or omissions of the organization that were the basis for revocation.

The Dale and Johanna Brunken Foundation Salt Lake City, UT Osterville Village Association Osterville, MA Epley Family Foundation Salt Lake City, UT Ohio Veterans Coalition, Inc. Akron, OH United States Historical Society Richmond, VA MOP Non-Profit, Inc. Detroit, MI Del Sol Foundation Downey, CA